

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ORANGEBURG DIVISION

OFFICER LAPPETT; NURSE SHANNON; and OFFICER COLEMAN,
Defendants. \$

ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AND DISMISSING THIS ACTION WITH PREJUDICE FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE, PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 41(B).

Plaintiff Marcus Antonio Woods (Woods) filed this lawsuit against Officer Lappett, Nurse Shannon, and Officer Coleman,. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting to the Court that this action be dismissed with prejudice for failure to prosecute, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). The Report was made pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on July 5, 2023, but Woods failed to file any objections. "[I]n the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note). Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985).

Therefore, after a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standards set forth above, the Court, adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment of this Court this action is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** for failure to prosecute, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). All pending motions in this action are, therefore, **RENDERED AS MOOT**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 26th day of July, 2023 in Columbia, South Carolina.

s/ Mary Geiger Lewis
MARY GEIGER LEWIS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

Woods is hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within thirty days from the date hereof, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.